

# LYMEC Digital Assembly (Online)

## 4 February 2023

### Minutes

#### Session A

##### Introduction and outline of the latest and future Bureau actions

##### Dan-Aria Sucuri (LYMEC President)

- ❖ Welcomes everyone and explains what the Digital Assembly is about and how it will proceed. In **session A**, he will explain what the Bureau has been doing since the last Congress and what the plans for the coming months are, especially in light of the next Congress (5-7 May 2023 in Budapest). Then, **Ines Holzegger (LYMEC Vice-President)** will complement that and there will be a Q&A session from the membership. **Session B** will be dedicated to the clean-up of the first half of Chapter 9 of the Policy Book with **Laia Comerma (LYMEC Policy Officer)**.

##### Dan-Aria Sucuri (LYMEC President)

- ❖ As a reminder, after the last Congress (18-20 Bucharest, November 2022), a few events have taken place. Since we met in Bucharest, the LYMEC Bureau has taken all the chances possible to be visible in public debates, to be more political and to influence policies at the EU level. For instance, last December we were invited by the Committee of Regions to shape a Youth Manifesto on how the Committee should deal with youth related issues, such as mental health or youth unemployment. The entire final manifesto won't be drafted by LYMEC only, but there are many contributions introduced by us.
- ❖ In December last year, **Ines Holzegger (LYMEC Vice-President)** and I also took part in the ALDE Party Council, where we mostly discussed the future of ALDE and Renew and its potential impact on LYMEC. It is important that the core values of liberalism are held and that LYMEC is still considered as a priority in the liberal family.
- ❖ We have also been working on developments in Europe and have been trying to communicate them. The war in Ukraine is an example.
- ❖ I would like to take this opportunity to welcome **Willemijn Krans (LYMEC Policy Resident)**, who has recently joined LYMEC as part of her curricular study stay. In her new capacity, she will support our work with policy debates and policy briefings to inform the membership about the latest developments in Europe.
- ❖ We have also worked internally on a new security plan to adopt measures which will affect and protect all of our social media handles, softwares and hardwares.

- ❖ We have been working to strengthen our cooperation with new partners. On this note, I am glad to say that **FNF Europe** decided to sponsor this Digital Assembly. We hope to collaborate on more projects in the future, both with FNF and other partners.

**Dan-Aria Sucuri (LYMEC President)** opens the floor for questions and comments from the membership. No one asks for the floor. He gives the floor to **Ines Holzegger (LYMEC Vice-President)**.

**Ines Holzegger (LYMEC Vice-President): Dan-Aria Sucuri (LYMEC President)** already mentioned some of the biggest matters I was working on, such as the ALDE Party Council or the new security plan. The latter is a bigger process that we just started, but a very important step to make our organisation more professional. I will get to represent LYMEC in the ALDE Working Group on the Stuttgart declaration, which will also affect the Manifesto. We will make sure that your voices are represented there too.

**Laia Comerma (LYMEC Policy Officer):** In the context of the ALDE Party Council, I had the opportunity to take part in the Working Group on China, whose outcomes will also be part of the manifesto. Today, you will see the results of the Policy Book clean-up. We also started working with the LYMEC Delegation to the ALDE Party Congress on the resolutions that we will present at the next ALDE plenary in May. Together with **Willemijn Krans (LYMEC Policy Resident)**, we are working on the advocacy database to increase our lobbying to MEPs in the European Parliament. We will also open the call for a new editorial team of Libertas soon. If you were already part of the team, you are welcome to apply again. Through the same call, we will also elect a new Editor in Chief.

**Ellinor Juth (LYMEC Communications Officer):** Together with the Communications Team, we had a workshop where we tried to establish LYMEC's vision and mission and implement that in the day-to-day workings of the organisation. The work we do on communications is an ongoing process. We just hit 5000 followers on Instagram and that's already a big achievement for us.

**Tanzer Yuseinov (LYMEC Training and Outreach Officer):** Since the last Congress, I have been working in cooperation with the European Youth Forum and looked into opportunities for LYMEC to join the upcoming edition of the EYE 2023 in Strasbourg.

**Alexandre Servais (LYMEC Events and Project Officer):** Before the Bucharest Congress in November 2022, we hosted an event on the European Year of Youth together with the Renew Europe Group. With **Laia Comerma (LYMEC Policy Officer)** we continued working on the outcomes, and especially on the Traineeships Report proposed by Renew Europe MEP Monica Semedo. In terms of projects, we cooperated extensively with the European Liberal Forum. A little reminder: the call for the Young Changemakers' Academy 2023 is still open and we are counting on you for more applications to come!

**Tuuli Helind (LYMEC Treasurer):** I have the honour to conclude the overview of the Bureau. Since the last time I spoke to you, we worked very hard on the European Commission grant for youth organisations at the end of last year. I want to thank some of our alumni and our **Internal Auditor, Daniel Ruiz** for their contributions. We will have an answer from the Commission in April. We were also lately working on the Member Organisations' fees invoices based on your inputs in the membership survey.

**Dan-Aria Sucuri (LYMEC President):** We are back with the Q&A. Are there any questions you would like to ask before we go ahead with the second part of the Digital Assembly?

**Julius Graack (Julis):** Thank you for the work you have done in the past months. There is a lot of stuff going on behind the scenes. I welcome the initiative of LYMEC to talk about the European Year of Skills 2023. Thank you for that. I have 3 questions. The first question is about finding the balance when it comes to MOs and the number of delegates present at the Congress. How can we achieve a better representation? The second question is about the participation fee to LYMEC Congresses. Is that going to change? My third question is on the preparation of EU elections 2024. Is there a timeline the Bureau is envisioning towards the EU elections?

**Dan-Aria Sucuri (LYMEC President):** I will start from the last question: as for the EU Elections 2024, we do have in mind the way we want to proceed. When LYMEC engages in a European Election, the MOs highlight new candidates to us. As we are well ahead of time, that hasn't happened yet. We also have to coordinate with Renew and ALDE before we move to more serious procedures. After the adoption of the ALDE manifesto at the ALDE Party Congress in May 2023, we will talk further about that.

**Ines Holzegger (LYMEC Vice-President):** The topic of the delegation representation system is under process. I cannot disclose our proposal now, but it is on the agenda of this weekend's Bureau Meeting. We do hear that there need to be more opportunities for bigger organisations to bring their members to LYMEC Congresses. All the details will be sent out at a later stage ahead of the Budapest Congress. For the moment, I can only say that it is a big change and I hope we will find some common grounds on this.

**Tuuli Helind (LYMEC Treasurer):** We are more transparent on our Congress budget and organisation. You should know that after the end of one Congress, our staff directly starts working on the next one. Despite the staff cost showing in the general budget, our staff spends a lot of time on the organisation of the Congresses which is part of the cost. I hope we can discuss it further at the next Congress.

**Roelof Dik (JOVD):** How is the cooperation with IFLRY going?

**Dan-Aria Sucuri (LYMEC President):** It's going well. On Monday, we had a meeting with IFLRY where we talked about our priorities. We also discussed our calendars not to have overlapping events. We talked about how to develop our organisations together and how to cooperate more. We had a good start on those topics and the cooperation is going well so far.

**Jaroslav Ambroz (Mlade ANO):** I want to thank you for promoting Working Groups in the newsletter. In addition to that, as we experienced at previous Congresses, we would love it if there could be more space to promote Working Groups there too. There are a lot of young passionate people who want to present their work.

**Dan-Aria Sucuri (LYMEC President):** We will note it down and we will work on it for the next Congress.

**Dan-Aria Sucuri (LYMEC President):** I don't see any other delegate on the speakers' list. Thank you for taking the time to comment on what we're doing. We will continue to listen to you and work on the remarks you have made.

---

## Session B

**Laia Comerma (LYMEC Policy Officer)**

**Amendments table:**

<https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1MdSteHNub9eYrsdn4AT9wLX7qDZ7TsUwSKQsREIWzfy/edit?usp=sharing>

- ❖ The Policy Book renewal process is an ongoing project of cleaning up the Policy Book. We are today discussing the first half of **Chapter 9**, from Resolution **9.01 to 9.47**, **including** Resolution **9.97**. Chapters 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 10 have already been reviewed. **The second half of Chapter 9 will be discussed before the Autumn Congress 2023.**
- ❖ All the Members have had the chance to submit their amendments before the Digital Assembly (DA). They still have the possibility to submit amendments and take the floor if they wish.
- ❖ As a reminder, only Heads of Delegations should vote in the chat (and only once per amendment), but all delegates can speak in the debate. **Ines Holzegger (LYMEC Vice-President)** will open the vote in the chat and count the votes. Heads of Delegation need to have a '1' in front of their name.
- ❖ The amendments submitted today will have to be resubmitted before the Spring Congress on Openslides.

**Laia Comerma (LYMEC Policy Officer) displays the outcomes of the renewal process of Chapter 9 on the screen.**

**Comments made by participants on each resolution and amendment are as follows:**

<b>Resolutions and amendments</b>	<b>WG Recommendation</b>	<b>DA Outcome on the resolution</b>	<b>Comments by participants</b>
-----------------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	---------------------------------



		<p><b>AMD 4 DEBATED AND APPROVED AS AMENDED BY THE COMPROMISE TEXT</b></p>	<p><b>Julius Graack (Julis):</b> we don't seem to have a proper replacement to this. This is why we should keep the sentence in the resolution.</p> <p><b>Silvio Junger (IMS):</b> there is a new process in place now for which it is possible to debate the treaty revision. The sentence is simply outdated.</p> <p><b>Julius Graack (Julis):</b> we want to replace the procedure and keep the '3 years' term to find a compromise.</p> <p><b>Laia Comerma (LYMEC Policy Officer)</b> invites <b>Silvio Junger (IMS)</b> and <b>Julius Graack (Julis)</b> to work together on an amendment for this.</p> <p><b>Silvio Junger (IMS)</b> and <b>Julius Graack (Julis)</b> propose the following text:</p> <p><i>"At least every three years, the Council should trigger the Ordinary Revision Procedure to control the effectiveness of all Treaties so far".</i></p> <p><b>No one is against it, we will replace the proposed text.</b></p>
		<p><b>AMD 5 ADOPTED</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>DEBATE ON AMD 5</b></li> </ul> <p><b>Paul Lawner (Julis):</b> we would like to delete this paragraph. We don't see any other place in the resolution where it could fit.</p> <p><b>No one speaks against. AMD 5 is accepted.</b></p>
		<p><b>AMD 6 DEBATED AND APPROVED</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>DEBATE ON AMD 6</b></li> </ul> <p><b>Paul Lawner (Julis):</b> We stand for a strong European Parliament as the only directly elected body. We don't see why the sentence should be deleted.</p> <p><b>Silvio Junger (IMS):</b> The issue we had on this sentence is that nowadays the EU Parliament doesn't really prove all the issues by itself, but it is in a co-legislator role.</p> <p><b>Julius Graack (Julis):</b> I understand your point of view, but there are still a lot of topics that the Parliament takes ownership on. It is important that we strengthen this position. Let's leave the sentence.</p>



<b>9.02 Future of relations between EU and the European Neighborhood</b>	Keep	<b>APPROVED</b>	/
<b>9.03 Political Map of Europe Must Match Geographical Map of Europe</b>	Update	<b>APPROVED</b>	/
<b>9.04 Mutual Energy Assistance Pact</b>	Update	<b>APPROVED</b>	/
<b>9.05 Resolution on EU-U.S. Economic Relations: Free Trade and Economic Integration</b>	Update	<b>APPROVED</b>	/
<b>9.06 Resolution on Security, Disarmament and Defence in Europe</b>	Archive	<b>APPROVED</b>	/

<p><b>9.07 Resolution on Europe in Change</b></p>	<p>Update</p>	<p><b>AMD 9 DEBATED AND APPROVED AS AMENDED BY THE COMPROMISE TEXT</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● <b>DEBATE ON AMD 9</b></li> </ul> <p><b>Calvin Nixon (Julis):</b> We want to replace the current wording with one that better focuses on the trials we experienced in the past years with the Russian aggression of Ukraine. The current wording talks about inefficiencies, but it does not mention the Russian aggression. It turned the European chessboard completely apart. We propose an alternative formulation.</p> <p><b>Ciprian Constantinescu (USR Tineret):</b> While we agree with some parts of this amendment, we will not remove the sentence on economic inefficiencies. It is one of the main differences among all the Member States. We know this is a very relevant point when it comes to a strong security policy. We should include Russia in the wording but we should not neglect economic inequalities.</p> <p><b>Julius Graack (Julis):</b> We don't see this issue as pressing, but I would have the following proposal to include both the concepts:</p> <p><i>"Furthermore, economic differences <b>may pose</b> an additional threat to European security."</i></p> <p><b>Ciprian Constantinescu (USR Tineret):</b> given the empirical evidence, I would change the conditional tense to the present tense:</p> <p><i>"Furthermore, economic differences <b>pose</b> an additional threat to European security."</i></p> <p><b>No one speaks against. AMD 9 is adopted as a compromise.</b></p>
		<p><b>AMD 10 DEBATED AND APPROVED AS AMENDED</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● <b>DEBATE ON AMD 10</b></li> </ul> <p><b>Calvin Nixon (Julis):</b> The current wording is quite confusing. We hope LYMEC is not in favour of compulsory military service.</p> <p><b>Marius Gobet (YGL):</b> I believe the original wording is fine.</p> <p><b>Silvio Junger (IMS):</b> I agree with <b>Marius Gobet (YGL)</b>. I would add that there are both conscription and volunteer systems.</p> <p><b>Julius Graack (Julis):</b> It is great that you all know how the military works. If you go on the LYMEC website and are interested in their</p>

		<p><b>AMD 11 DEBATED AND REJECTED ON TECHNICAL TERMS</b></p>	<p>position on the military service, that is quite unclear. This amendment makes this position more transparent to those who don't know the difference between conscription and volunteer systems in the military.</p> <p><b>Marius Gobet (YGL):</b> I see your point. If we phrase this amendment as you want, it will become a bit extreme.</p> <p><b>Ciprian Constantinescu (USR Tineret):</b> I think we would rather support the amendment proposed by <b>Julis</b>. As a lawyer, I can tell you that the original wording makes us understand that LYMEC supports conscription. This is why <b>Julis'</b> amendment makes more sense.</p> <p><b>Julius Lajtha (JUNOS):</b> We should consider professionalism and how it comes across. It sounds like we want to engage people. Semantically, it does not make sense to use the word 'volunteer'.</p> <p><b>Rowan Fitton (Alliance Youth):</b> This conversation is circular and you are all saying the same thing. I think you should include both the wordings cause they are both worth mentioning:</p> <p><i>'LYMEC thinks that this army should be a professional voluntary military force that does not rely on conscription'.</i></p> <p><b>Laia Comerma (LYMEC Policy Officer):</b> we will vote first on <b>Rowan Fitton (Alliance Youth)'s</b> text. If it falls, we vote on <b>Julis'</b> text. The first vote is now open.</p> <p><b>The wording proposed by Rowan Fitton (Alliance Youth) is accepted. Julis' amendment falls.</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>DEBATE ON AMD 11</b></li> </ul> <p><b>Laia Comerma (LYMEC Policy Officer):</b> We discussed at bureau level that we will not be voting on this amendment because it changes the policy. We can discuss it, but we cannot vote on it.</p> <p><b>Julius Graack (Julis):</b> we only want to reflect the policies.</p> <p><b>Ciprian Constantinescu (USR Tineret):</b> what <b>Julius Graack (Julis)</b> is mentioning refers to</p>
--	--	--	---

		<p><b>AMD 12 DEBATED AND REJECTED</b></p>	<p>a common military intelligence body, while the amendment refers to the European military force.</p> <p><b>The amendment was rejected by the Bureau on technical grounds, it would change the policy of LYMEC.</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>DEBATE ON AMD 12</b></li> </ul> <p><b>Calvin Nixon (Julis):</b> We will change the wording to say that only democratic allies of the EU should be allowed to get weapons.</p> <p><b>Marius Gobet (YGL):</b> I welcome the new text, however we should define what an ally is. I would suggest rephrasing the wording to 'democratic countries only'.</p> <p><b>Ciprian Constantinescu (USR Tineret):</b> Of course this way the policy will be more flexible, but do we want it to be more flexible? My fear is that by being general, governments will be able to define democracy their own way.</p> <p><b>Fabian Grepper (JFS):</b> I agree. Who is defining what a democratic country is? Who is an ally?</p> <p><b>Calvin Nixon (Julis):</b> We could make a compromise on the wording. When we talk about values, we can't be clearer about this. If we call allies 'democratic', we also say that they share our democratic liberal values. Some share them more, some others less.</p> <p><b>Ciprian Constantinescu (USR Tineret):</b> as long as democracy cannot be properly defined, this is not the liberal way to go forward. The amendment should be opposed in its entirety.</p> <p><b>Marius Gobet (YGL):</b> I welcome the text, but we are stuck in a circular situation again.</p> <p><b>Laia Comerma (LYMEC Policy Officer):</b> We will now vote on the replacement as proposed by <b>Julis</b>. The vote is now open.</p> <p><b>AMD 12 is not accepted.</b></p> <p><b>No one speaks on the resolution as a whole as amended. It is therefore accepted.</b></p>
--	--	---	---

<p><b>9.08 Resolution Self Determination for East Timor</b></p>	<p>Archive</p>	<p><b>APPROVED</b></p>	<p>/</p>
<p><b>9.09 Motion for a Resolution Demanding the Immediate Release of Political Opponents in the Republic of the Union of Myanmar (Burma)</b></p>	<p>Update</p>	<p><b>APPROVED</b></p>	<p>/</p>
<p><b>9.10 Resolution on Anti-Personnel Landmines</b></p>	<p>Archive</p>	<p><b>APPROVED</b> (new resolution should be submitted)</p>	<p><b>Ciprian Constantinescu (USR Tineret):</b> could you please clarify if this resolution was archived by the Working Group or by the Congress?</p> <p><b>Laia Comerma (LYMEC Policy Officer)</b> explains that this is just a proposal of archival by the working group.</p> <p><b>Rowan Fitton (Alliance Youth):</b> Why was this resolution archived?</p> <p><b>Laia Comerma (LYMEC Policy Officer):</b> it actually does not make sense and it is too outdated, as it was written in 1997.</p> <p><b>No one else speaks on the resolution. The archival is approved.</b></p>

<p><b>NEW 9.11 Resolution on Middle East Relations</b></p>	<p>Merger of 9.11 and 9.15</p>	<p><b>PROPOSAL REJECTED 9.11 AND 9.15 ARE KEPT AS IT IS</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li> <p><b>DEBATE ON AMD 13</b></p> <p><b>Julius Graack (Julis):</b> We have two different proposals, but I would like to discuss the first one only. First, we all know that the topic of Eastern Policy is very controversial. The Digital Assembly is not the right forum to discuss it. Second, I think that the merger is combining a very old resolution with a recent resolution, by disrupting the balance that the old resolution had. I don't think this is the right direction. If we cannot agree on the amendment, we can just leave the two resolutions as they are without moving to a merger.</p> <p><b>Maarten Tollenaar (JD):</b> I agree with what <b>Julius Graack (Julis)</b> said. I am in favour of keeping both the resolutions.</p> <p><b>Ciprian Constantinescu (USR Tineret):</b> we support the merger, it makes sense for the Policy Book too.</p> <p><b>Khaled Elbaz (Mladi Progresivci):</b> we support the merger because we found that the line 11 of the resolution looked at more than just one side of the conflict. The other resolution looked on the other side of the conflict. This is why we decided to merge both resolutions.</p> <p><b>Roelof Dik (JOVD):</b> I agree with <b>Julis</b>, the best option is no merger. At the next Congress the best solution would be to have a new resolution on this.</p> <p><b>Julius Graack (Julis):</b> It is clear that we would propose to have no merger and no archival. I would be happy to work with a balanced proposal before the next Congress and come up with a compromise.</p> <p><b>David Grasveld (LHG):</b> We are in favour of not merging the resolutions and drafting a new one on this matter.</p> <p><b>Laia Comerma (LYMEC Policy Officer):</b> We move to a vote on not archiving any of the resolutions. The vote is open.</p> <p><b>The merger is voted down and therefore both the resolutions are kept.</b></p> </li> </ul>
--	--------------------------------	---	---

<b>OLD 9.11 Resolution on Middle East Relations</b>	Merged into new 9.11	<b>REJECTED no merger 9.11 and 9.15 kept</b>	/
<b>9.12 Resolution on the Iraqi Weapons of Mass Destruction</b>	Archive	<b>APPROVED</b>	/
<b>9.13 Win the Peace!</b>	Archive	<b>APPROVED</b>	/
<b>NEW 9.14 Fight against Terrorism</b>	Merger of old 9.14 and 9.16	<b>APPROVED</b>	/
<b>OLD 9.14 Fight against Terrorism</b>	Archived (Merged into new 9.14)	<b>APPROVED</b>	/
<b>9.15 Problems in the Middle East</b>	Archived (Merged into new 9.11)	<b>APPROVED</b>	/
<b>9.16 Resolution on Terrorism</b>	Archived (Merged into new 9.14)	<b>APPROVED</b>	/
<b>9.17 Remove Iran's Resistance from Terror List</b>	Archived	<b>APPROVED</b>	/
<b>9.18 Urgent Resolution on Darfur Crisis</b>	Update	<b>AMD 19 DISCUSSED AND ADOPTED</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>DEBATE ON AMD 19</b></li> </ul> <p><b>Felix Barenthien (Julis):</b> I saw a new document on multiple Russian jets being sent to Sudan in the name of one of the main terrorists of the Darfur conflict.</p> <p><b>Amendment 19 is accepted.</b></p> <p><b>No one speaks against the resolution as a whole.</b></p>

<b>9.19 A Call for European Coordination in Iraqi Refugee Crisis</b>	Archive	<b>APPROVED</b>	/
<b>9.20 Urgency Resolution on Action against Piracy</b>	Update	<b>APPROVED</b>	/
<b>9.21 Improving the Coordination of Security Policy in Europe</b>	Keep	<b>APPROVED</b>	/
<b>9.22 Revolutions in North Africa and the Middle East</b>	Archive	<b>APPROVED</b>	/
<b>9.23 E.U. Must Maintain the Weapons Embargo Against China</b>	Keep	<b>APPROVED</b>	/
<b>9.24 Olympic Solidarity with Victims of Chinese Oppression</b>	Archive	<b>APPROVED</b>	/
<b>9.25 Resolution for Supporting Democracy in Cuba</b>	Archive	<b>APPROVED</b>	/
<b>9.26 Resolution on Cuba-Helsinki</b>	Archive	<b>APPROVED</b>	/
<b>9.27 Urgent Resolution on Cuba-Switzerland</b>	Archive (merged into new 9.97)	<b>APPROVED</b>	/
<b>9.28 Urgent Resolution on Cuba</b>	Archive	<b>APPROVED</b>	/

<p><b>9.29 Release of Cuban Political Dissidents</b></p>	<p>Archive (merged into new 9.97)</p>	<p><b>APPROVED</b></p>	<p>/</p>
<p><b>NEW 9.30 Resolution on Gibraltar</b></p>	<p>Merger of old 9.30, 9.31, 9.32</p>	<p><b>APPROVED</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● <b>DEBATE ON AMD 20</b></li> </ul> <p><b>Alex Alvarez (Jóvenes Ciudadanos):</b> proposes to move to a vote on the resolution on Gibraltar as there are no amendments.</p> <p><b>Rowan Fitton (Alliance Youth):</b> If there are organisations concerned about the merger, then we should first comment and then vote.</p> <p><b>Alex Alvarez (Jóvenes Ciudadanos):</b> this is why we want to ask if this vote can be done or not. This resolution on Gibraltar has concerns on some member organisations. This is why I would like to know what other MOs think.</p> <p><b>Sorcha Ni Chonghaile (Ogra Fianna Fail):</b> I think we are wasting our time on this.</p> <p><b>Laia Comerma (LYMEC Policy Officer)</b> opens the vote on whether we want the merger or not.</p> <p><b>AMD 20 is rejected.</b></p> <p><b>The vote is closed and the merger is therefore accepted. No one speaks on the resolution as merged.</b></p>
<p><b>OLD 9.30 Resolution on Gibraltar I</b></p>	<p>Archive (Merged into new 9.30)</p>	<p><b>APPROVED</b></p>	<p>/</p>
<p><b>9.31 Resolution on Gibraltar II</b></p>	<p>Archive (Merged into new 9.30)</p>	<p><b>APPROVED</b></p>	<p>/</p>
<p><b>9.32 Resolution on Gibraltar and the Single European Sky</b></p>	<p>Archive (Merged into new 9.30)</p>	<p><b>APPROVED</b></p>	<p>/</p>

<b>9.33 Resolution on Northern Ireland</b>	Archive	<b>APPROVED</b>	/
<b>9.34 Resolution on Cyprus</b>	Update	<b>APPROVED</b>	<b>Michalis Ioannou (NEODEPA):</b> We believe that the changes made are more reflective of the current situation in Cyprus.
<b>9.35 A New Approach to the Euro-Mediterranean Policy</b>	Archive	<b>APPROVED</b>	/
<b>9.36 Towards a Stronger Euro-Mediterranean Partnership</b>	Update	<b>AMD 21 DISCUSSED AND ADOPTED</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>DEBATE ON AMD 21</b></li> </ul> <p><b>Alisa Ambro (Julis):</b> it is just a little replacement on the number of States.  <b>Silvio Junger (IMS):</b> I want to make another little replacement on the year Croatia joined the EU.</p> <p><b>AMD 21 is accepted.</b></p> <p><b>No one wants to speak on the resolution as a whole as amended.</b></p>
<b>9.37 Urgency Resolution on the Actions of the UK Government Regarding the Icelandic Financial Crisis</b>	Archive	<b>APPROVED</b>	/
<b>NEW 9.38 New Resolution on BiH</b>	Merger of old 9.38, 9.39, 9.40, 9.41	<b>APPROVED</b>	/
<b>OLD 9.38 Urgency Resolution on the Situation in BiH</b>	Archive (merged into new 9.38)	<b>APPROVED</b>	/
<b>9.39 Resolution on Bosnia-Herzegovina</b>	Archive (merged into new 9.38)	<b>APPROVED</b>	/

<b>9.40 Resolution on the War in Bosnia-Herzegovina</b>	Archive (merged into new 9.38)	<b>APPROVED</b>	/
<b>9.41 Resolution on the Cooperation of Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia and Serbia and Montenegro with the UN Tribunal in The Hague</b>	Archive (merged into new 9.38)	<b>APPROVED</b>	/
<b>9.42 Resolution on the Former Soviet Union/Europe</b>	Archive	<b>APPROVED</b>	/
<b>9.43 Resolution on the Conflict in Chechnya</b>	Archive	<b>APPROVED</b>	/



<b>OLD 9.44 Resolution on Transnistria</b>	Archive (merged into new 9.44)	<b>APPROVED</b>	/
<b>9.45 Resolution on Moldova and Transnistria</b>	Archive (merged into new 9.44)	<b>APPROVED</b>	/
<b>9.46 Bringing Moldova Closer to the EU</b>	Archive (merged into new 9.44)	<b>APPROVED</b>	/
<b>9.47 Resolution on the Situation in Kosovo</b>	Update	<b>APPROVED</b>	/
<b>NEW 9.97 In defence of freedom and fundamental rights in Cuba</b>	Merger of old 9.97, 9.27, 9.29	<b>APPROVED</b>	/
<b>OLD 9.97 In defence of freedom and fundamental rights in Cuba</b>	Archive (merged into new 9.97)	<b>APPROVED</b>	/

---

**Laia Comerma (LYMEC Policy Officer):**

- ❖ **There are no more comments.**
- ❖ **Laia Comerma (LYMEC Policy Officer)** closes the session on the Policy Book Renewal. She will come up with some solutions for the unclear resolutions, so as to have a formal vote on them at the next Congress in Budapest.
- ❖ She thanks everyone for participating. Each point that was discussed today can be reopened at the next Congress. It is participants' right to do so, even if the scope of the Digital Assembly is to streamline this process.
- ❖ A special thank you to those who participated in the last Working Group of the Policy Book renewal.

**Dan-Aria Sucuri (LYMEC President):** Thanks everyone for the great work.